

Pat nt and Trademark Offic

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
02/28/00	FINCK		R	3245-704PUS	
		7	EXAMINER		
IM51/0131 THOMAS C PONTANI		COMBS	т		
COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210 NEW YORK NY 10176			1742	7	
			DAIL MAILED.	01/31/01	
	02/28/00 ONTANI ANI LIEBERM AVENUE SUIT	02/28/00 FINCK IM51/0131 ONTANI ANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE AVENUE SUITE 1210	02/28/00 FINCK IM51/0131 ONTANI ANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE AVENUE SUITE 1210	02/28/00 FINCK R IM51/0131 ONTANI ANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE ARTUNIT AVENUE SUITE 1210	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	_	Applicant(s)						
ι	Office Action Summary	09/423,911		FINCK ET AL.						
	•	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Janelle Combs-N		1742						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replip period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136 (a). In no event, howe ly within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tin imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this O (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ely. communication.					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 /	February 2000 .								
2a)□		nis action is non-final.								
3)□	· -									
Dispositi	ion of Claims									
4)🖾	Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8)	Claims are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiren	nent.							
Applicati	ion Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	to by the Examine	r.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.										
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).										
•	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved in Application	on No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).										
17/	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	sale priority under	33 0.3.0. & 11.	5(G).						
Attachment	Ne)									
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	18)	Interview Summan	y (PTO-413) Paper N	Ja(s)					
16) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) 🔲		Patent Application (F						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-00)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 lines 7-8 states that "hot rolling passes are carried out without recrystallization on a reversing roll stand from coil to coil" which renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear what is "from coil to coil". Claim 7, which is drawn to an apparatus, is indefinite because the phrase "roughing stage producing rough strip" refers to a process limitation. The examiner suggests changing "roughing stage producing rough strip" to "roughing stage <u>capable of</u> producing <u>a</u> rough strip". Claims dependent on the above rejected claims are likewise rejected under this statute. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daly et al.

. Application/Control Number: 09/423,911

Art Unit: 1742

Daly et al teaches a process for producing aluminum strip comprising the steps of: hot rolling an aluminum strip in a single stand reversible hot mill (column 3 lines 23-24) while minimizing recrystallization (column 3 lines 36-37), coiling, and annealing in a furnace at 315-399°C (column 3 lines 35, 49-50), which overlaps the presently claimed annealing temperature range. The hot roll exit temperature is 249-405°C, which overlaps the presently claimed hot roll exit temperature range.

Daly et al does not teach a roughing stage to form a strip. However, the examiner asserts that the presently claimed roughing step is included in the step as taught by Daly et al of hot rolling an aluminum strip in a single stand reversible hot mill (column 3 lines 23-24). Daly et al does not specify that the last three hot rolling passes are carried out without recrystallization. However, because Daly et al teaches that "the hot mill schedule is such that recrystallization in the hot mill is minimized or reduced" (Daly column 3 lines 36-37), it would have been within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art to carry out the last three hot rolling passes without recrystallization. Because Daly teaches a process of hot rolling aluminum into a strip with a finishing temperature that overlaps the presently claimed range, followed by coiling said strip, and annealing at temperatures that overlap the presently claimed range, Daly et al is held to create a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention.

5. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daly et al in view of Lex.

Daly et al teaches an apparatus for hot rolling aluminum comprising: a means for reversing rough rolling (Fig. 1B), a means for finish rolling, said means including a four high

Art Unit: 1742

reversing roll stand with winding devices on each side (Fig. 1D), a means for transferring said coil to a furnace (column 3 lines 48-49), and a heat treating means (Fig. 1C).

Daly et al does not teach said heat treating means is a pusher type furnace with a pallet transport system. However, Lex teaches that it is common to use pusher type furnaces when annealing in similar rolling mill trains (column 1 lines 13-16). Daly et al does not teach that one of the winding devices corresponds to the transporting means from the coiler to the furnace. However, because Daly et al teaches a coiling means and a transporting means from the coiler to the furnace, it is held to be within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art for one of the winding devices to correspond with the transporting means, as presently claimed. Because Lex teaches that pusher type furnaces are commonly used in rolling mill trains, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a pusher type furnace for a heating means, in the apparatus as taught by Daly et al.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janelle Combs-Morillo whose telephone number is (703) 308-4757. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am- 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (703) 308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7719 for regular communications and (703) 305-7719 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI

jcm January 18, 2001